

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/657,696	09/08/2003	Douglas J. Menkedick	8266-0880	1212
25267 75	90 08/14/2006		EXAMI	NER
BOSE MCKINNEY & EVANS LLP 135 N PENNSYLVANIA ST			SANTOS, ROBERT G	
. SUITE 2700			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
INDIANAPOL	IS, IN 46204		3673	

DATE MAILED: 08/14/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Communication	10/657,696	MENKEDICK ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Robert G. Santos	3673				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE of the state of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION (6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting till apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 Fe	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006 and on 01 June 2006.					
	action is non-final.					
, 	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22 and 51-107</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>51-107</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
· <u> </u>	8) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
	Ciodion requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	•				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	atent Application (FTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/657,696

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on February 21, 2006 has been entered.

Election/Restrictions

2. Newly submitted claims 51-78 (as well as claims 79-107 newly submitted in the papers filed June 1, 2006) are directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention originally claimed for the following reasons: The invention originally claimed was a patient support comprising an intermediate frame movable relative to a base frame; however, claims 57-72 and 75-107 are drawn to a patient support comprising a masterless communication network and a plurality of modules connected to the network while claims 51-56, 73 and 74 are drawn to a patient support comprising a controller area network including a serial bus connecting a plurality of control modules.

Since applicant has received an action on the merits for the originally presented invention, this invention has been constructively elected by original presentation for prosecution on the merits. Accordingly, claims 51-107 withdrawn from consideration as being directed to a non-elected invention. See 37 CFR 1.142(b) and MPEP § 821.03.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,696

Art Unit: 3673

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. App. Pub.2004/0177445 to Osborne et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 7,009,995 to Bohrer et al. Osborne et al. '445 are considered to show all of the claimed limitations as recited in claims 1-22 (see Figures 40-51, 116-123 & 128; page 16; page 17, lines 1-6 & paragraph 0248; page 18, paragraphs 0251-0259; page 19, lines 1-7; page 44, paragraphs 0540-0549; page 45; page 46, lines 1-5; page 47, paragraphs 0569-0575; and page 48, lines 1-13) except for a condition wherein the at least one actuator or lift mechanism (2048a, 2048b) is coupled to a controller area network. Bohrer et al. '995 provide the basic teaching of a controller area network which is currently used in medical technology and is known "for networking...actuators within an installation or machine" (see Bohrer et al. '995, column 1, lines 15-22). The skilled artisan would have found it obvious at the time the invention was made to couple the at least one actuator or lift mechanism to a controller area network since this type of digital communication protocol is well known and widely used due to its high speed and integrity, simple wiring construction and relatively low cost.

Application/Control Number: 10/657,696

Art Unit: 3673

Response to Amendment

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Menkedick et al. '731, Menkedick et al. '730, Menkedick et al. '079, Bartling '517, Uemura et al. '317, Osborne et al. '029, Kramer et al. '352, Osborne et al. '560, Skinner et al. '559, Poulos et al. '624, Poulos et al. '562, Poulos et al. '555, Pfaff '144, Osborne et al. '500, Pfaff '357, Petrosenko et al. '940, Bartling et al. '739, Bartling '594, Earnst et al. '710, Chasmawala et al. '111, Schumacher et al. '458, Bartling '583, Bartling '047, Novak '677, Everett '722, El-Demerdash et al. '688, Matsumoto '903, El-Demerdash et al. '953, Shingaki '100, Silvkoff et al. '431, Hall et al. '247, Stoneking et al. '670, Stoneking '783, Schumacher et al. '603, Bartling '547, Bartling '016, Lorinser et al. '221 and Schumacher et al. '724.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert G. Santos whose telephone number is (571) 272-7048. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues-Fr and first Mondays, 10:30 a.m. to 8:00 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia L. Engle can be reached on (571) 272-6660. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3673

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Robert G. Santos
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3673

R.S. August 8, 2006